

United States Patent and Trademark Office



PAPER NUMBER

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/004,257	1	0/26/2001	Yves Delmotte	WM-267.00 3743	
7.	590	12/14/2004		EXAMINER	
Janice Guthrie BAXTER Heal			VENKAT, JYOTHSNA A		

17511 Armstrong Avenue
Irvine, CA 92614

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004

ART UNIT

1615

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/004,257	DELMOTTE, YVES
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		JYOTHSNA A VENKAT Ph. D	1615
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHO THE M. - Extensi after SI - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)□ T 3)□ S	tesponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Sec</u> this action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This since this application is in condition for allowand losed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositio	n of Claims		
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-72</u> is/are pending in the application. a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-72</u> are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.	
Applicatio	n Papers		
10)∐ TI A	ne specification is objected to by the Examiner ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception to the correction decidence of the decidence of the decidence of the correction of the correct	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) A a) 1 1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b)	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tition Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-28 are, drawn to a multilayered biocompatible structure comprising: a biopolymer membrane; and a biopolymer product in contact with the biopolymer membrane wherein the biomaterial membrane comprises a blend of biomaterial and thrombin and process of making the biopolymer membrane, classified in class 424, subclass 443.
 - II. Claims 29-31 are, drawn to a multilayered biocompatible structure comprising: a first blend of a biomaterial and thrombin defining a biopolymer membrane; a second blend of a biomaterial and thrombin defining a biopolymer product, wherein the biopolymer membrane contacts the biopolymer product', classified in class 424, subclass 443.
 - III. Claims 32-37 and 41-66 are, drawn to biopolymer membrane comprising a blend of biomaterial and thrombin and process of making the biopolymer membrane, classified in class 514, subclass 1+.
 - IV. Claims 38, and 67-72 are drawn to multilayered biopolymer membrane and process of making the multilayered biopolymer membrane, classified in class 514, and subclass 1+.
- V. Claims 39-40 are, drawn to artificial skin, classified in class 435, subclass 325. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Page 3

Art Unit: 1615

2. Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the multilayered biocompatible structure can be made biopolymer membrane, which is a blend of biomaterial and any polymer.

- 3. Inventions I and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used to make an implant.
- 4. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions as group I is drawn to biocompatible structure with one membrane where as group I is drawn to biocompatible structure with two membranes and both the groups have different effects, different modes of operation.
- 5. Inventions II and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the multilayered biocompatible structure can be made of two-biopolymer membrane, which is a

blend of biomaterial and any polymer, and another biopolymer membrane which is biomaterial and another polymer, which is different from the polymer, used in the making the first biopolymer membrane.

- 6. Inventions II and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP§ 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used to make an implant.
- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Groups II-V, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

(a) **ELECTION OF SPECIES**

If applicants elect groups I-V, they are further required to elect the biomaterial, which forms the membrane, or two membranes.

Art Unit: 1615

10. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: drawn to biomaterial of claim 4, 43 or 69.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Clams 1, 29, 32, 38, 3941, and 67 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

11. Due to complex restriction requirement, telephone call was not made to applicants.

Art Unit: 1615

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JYOTHSNA A VENKAT Ph. D whose telephone number is 571-272-0607. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 9:30-7:30:1st and 2nd Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, THURMAN K PAGE can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197-(toll-free).

JYOTHSNA A VENKAT Ph. D Britan yn Ennadiaet

Art Unit 1615
